

Research Paper

**SOME CONNECTIONS BETWEEN  $R$ -MODULES AND  $S$ -ACTS VIA THE  
 $k$ -REALIZATION FUNCTOR**

ELAHE NAFARIEH TALKHOONCHEH, MARYAM SALIMI\*, HAMID RASOULI, ELHAM TAVASOLI  
AND ABOLFAZL TEHRANIAN

**ABSTRACT.** For a commutative pointed monoid  $S$  and a commutative unital ring  $k$ , let  $k[S]$  be the commutative ring consisting of finite  $k$ -linear sums of non-zero elements of  $S$ . In this paper, we investigate some properties of the  $k$ -realization functor from the category  $S\text{-Act}_0$  of all pointed  $S$ -acts to the category  $k[S]\text{-Mod}$  of all  $k[S]$ -modules, which is a left adjoint to the forgetful functor. Using this adjunction, we show that  $S\text{-Act}_0$  has enough injective objects. Finally, we prove that the functor  $k[-]$  is faithful but not full.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, all monoids are assumed to be pointed and commutative. Let  $S$  be a monoid. An act over  $S$  is a pointed set  $X$  with an action  $S \times X \rightarrow X$ . These objects, called (pointed)  $S$ -acts, are the main focus of this paper. A morphism of  $S$ -acts is an  $S$ -equivariant pointed set map. A notable feature of  $S$ -acts is that the First Isomorphism Theorem does

DOI: 10.22034/as.2026.23910.1848

MSC(2010): 06F05, 03G25, 03G10

Keywords: Injective act,  $k$ -realization functor,  $S$ -act.

Received: 03 November 2025, Accepted: 13 June 2026.

\*Corresponding author

not generally hold. In other words, for an  $S$ -homomorphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , it is not generally true that  $X/\text{Ker}(f) \cong \text{Im}(f)$ . Moreover,  $\text{Ker}(f) = 0$  does not necessarily imply that  $f$  is injective. We address this by focusing on admissible morphisms, i.e., morphisms  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f : X \rightarrow f(X)$  is a cokernel. Let  $k$  be a commutative unital ring, and let  $k[S]$  be a commutative ring consisting of finite  $k$ -linear sums of non-zero elements of  $S$ . In this paper, we study some properties of the forgetful functor  $U$  and the  $k$ -realization functor  $k[-]$ . These functors were introduced in [4], and they form an adjunction. In this paper, it is shown that the functor  $U$  is not exact in the sense that it does not carry exact sequence to admissible exact sequence. Also, it is shown that while the functor  $U$  is not exact, the functor  $k[-]$  is exact in the sense that it carries admissible exact sequences to exact sequences (in the usual sense of abelian categories). Additionally, it is proved that the functor  $U$  commutes with equalizers and the functor  $k[-]$  commutes with coequalizers. Also, it is shown that the  $k$ -realization functor preserves and reflects monomorphisms. As an application, we prove that the category  $S\text{-Act}_0$  has enough injectives. We follow standard notation and terminology from [6]. Also, for further definitions in related algebraic structures, see [3] and [5].

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Let  $S$  be a commutative pointed monoid, and if  $st = 0_S$ , then  $s = 0_S$  or  $t = 0_S$  for all  $s, t \in S$ . For a monoid  $S$ , a non-empty and pointed set  $X$  is called a *left  $S$ -act*, if there exists a mapping  $S \times X \rightarrow X$  by  $(s, x) \rightarrow sx$ , satisfying the conditions  $1x = x$ ,  $0_Sx = 0_X$ ,  $s0_X = 0_X$ ,  $(st)x = s(tx)$ , and if  $sx = 0_X$ , then  $s = 0_S$  or  $x = 0_X$ , for all  $s, t \in S$  and  $x \in X$ . Throughout this paper, all monoids are assumed to be commutative having a zero element and an  $S$ -act is a left  $S$ -act. For  $S$ -acts  $X$  and  $Y$ , an  *$S$ -homomorphism* is a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(0_X) = 0_Y$ , and  $f(sx) = sf(x)$  for every  $s \in S$  and  $x \in X$ . The category of all  $S$ -acts together with their  $S$ -morphisms will be denoted by  $S\text{-Act}_0$ . For an  $S$ -map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $\text{Ker}(f)$  is the equalizer of  $f$  and  $0$ , and  $\text{Coker}(f)$  is the coequalizer of  $f$  and  $0$ , where  $0$  denotes the zero morphism. In  $S\text{-Act}_0$ , kernels and cokernels always exist. However, unlike in some algebraic categories, in [4] it is shown that  $\text{Ker}(f) = 0$  does not imply injectivity of  $f$  in  $S\text{-Act}_0$ . So, we restrict our attention to admissible morphisms. For such maps, the condition  $\text{Ker}(f) = 0$  implies that  $f$  is injective. Furthermore, a sequence of  $S$ -acts and  $S$ -maps

$$\cdots \rightarrow X_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} X_n \xrightarrow{f_n} X_{n+1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

is called *admissible* whenever  $f_i$  is admissible for all  $i$ . An admissible sequence is *exact* provided that  $\text{Im}(f_{i-1}) = \text{Ker}(f_i)$  holds for every  $i$ . If a sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} X_3 \rightarrow 0,$$

of  $S$ -acts and  $S$ -maps is admissible exact, then it is called an *admissible short exact sequence*. In this case, one has  $f_1$  is an injection,  $f_2$  is an epimorphism and  $X_2/X_1 \cong X_3$ .

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  denote the category of commutative unital rings and let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the category of commutative pointed monoids. Let  $R \in \mathcal{R}$  and let  $U(R)$  denote the underlying monoid of  $R$  obtained by forgetting addition. Then  $(U(R), \cdot) \in \mathcal{M}$  is a monoid with unit 1 and basepoint 0. This construction induces a functor  $U : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ , called the *forgetful functor*. This functor induces the forgetful functor  $U : R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow U(R)\text{-Act}_0$ , where  $R\text{-Mod}$  is the category of  $R$ -modules. To every  $R$ -module  $M$ , the  $U(R)$ -act  $U(M)$  has no addition and retains its  $R$ -action. The following example shows that the functor  $U : R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow U(R)\text{-Act}_0$  is not exact in the sense that it does not carry exact sequences to admissible exact sequences.

**Example 2.1.** If  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ , the usual ring of integers, the short exact sequence of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules (i.e. of abelian groups)  $0 \rightarrow C_3 \xrightarrow{\iota_1} C_3 \times C_4 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} C_4 \rightarrow 0$ , where  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  are the cyclic groups of order 3 and 4, respectively, and  $\iota_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are the canonical inclusion and projection, is sent by  $U$  to a sequence in which  $\pi_2$  is not admissible, because its restriction to  $(C_3 \times C_4) \setminus \text{Ker}(\pi_2)$  is not an injection. So, the sequence is not admissible.

Let  $k \in \mathcal{R}$  and let  $S \in \mathcal{M}$  with a pointed element  $\theta$ . For every  $s \in S$ , we set

$$e_s = (\dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots),$$

where 1 is situated in the  $s$ -th component, and  $1 = 1_k$ ,  $0 = 0_k$ . Then  $\prod_{s \in S} k$  is the free  $k$ -module with basis  $\{e_s\}_{s \in S}$ . We define

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi : \prod_{s \in S} k \rightarrow \prod_{\theta \neq s \in S} k, \\ \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ s_j=\theta}}^n k_i e_{s_i} \mapsto \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ s_i \neq \theta \\ i \neq j}}^n k_i e_{s_i}. \end{array} \right.$$

It is easy to check that  $\varphi$  is a surjective  $k$ -homomorphism and  $\text{Ker } \varphi = \langle e_\theta \rangle$ . Hence, we have the  $k$ -isomorphism  $\frac{\prod_{s \in S} k}{\langle e_\theta \rangle} \cong \prod_{\theta \neq s \in S} k$ . Set  $k[S] := \prod_{\theta \neq s \in S} k$ . Therefore  $k[S]$  is a free  $k$ -module and its basis is the non-zero elements of  $S$ . Hence, every arbitrary element of  $k[S]$  is a finite  $k$ -linear sum of non-zero elements of  $S$ . Suppose that  $\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ s_i \neq \theta}}^n k_i e_{s_i}$  and  $\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ s'_j \neq \theta}}^n k'_j e_{s'_j}$  are two elements

of  $k[S]$ . We define

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n k_i e_{s_i} \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n k'_j e_{s'_j} \right) := \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n k_i k'_j e_{s_i} e_{s'_j},$$

which turns  $k[S]$  into a commutative ring. Note that  $s_i \neq \theta$  and  $s'_j \neq \theta$  imply that  $s_i s'_j \neq \theta$ , since  $S$  is a pointed monoid. Now, we are ready to recall the definition of the  $k$ -realization

functor. Let us first recall that a ring  $R$  is a  $k$ -algebra, where  $k$  is a commutative ring, if  $R$  is a  $k$ -module satisfying  $k'(rr') = (k'r)r' = r(k'r')$  for all  $r, r' \in R$  and  $k' \in k$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [4, Page 46] Let  $k \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $S \in \mathcal{M}$ . The  $k$ -realization functor  $k[-] : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow k$ -algebra assigns to every monoid  $S$  the free  $k$ -module  $k[S]$  with basis the non-zero elements of  $S$ , endowed with multiplication induced by that of  $S$ . This can be extended to the  $k$ -realization of  $S$ -acts denoted as  $k[-] : S - \mathbf{Act}_0 \rightarrow k[S]\text{-Mod}$ . If  $X$  is an  $S$ -act, then  $k[X]$  is the free  $k[S]$ -module with a basis as the set of non-zero elements of  $X$  and the  $k[S]$ -action given by  $S$ -action on  $X$ .

**Remark 2.3.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an  $S$ -homomorphism. Then  $k[f] : k[X] \rightarrow k[Y]$  is a  $k[S]$ -homomorphism which is defined by

$$k[f]\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ 0 \neq x_i \in X}}^n k_i e_{x_i}\right) = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ 0 \neq f(x_i) \in Y}}^n k_i e_{f(x_i)},$$

for every  $\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ 0 \neq x_i \in X}}^n k_i e_{x_i} \in k[X]$ . Therefore,  $k[-] : S - \mathbf{Act}_0 \rightarrow k[S]\text{-Mod}$  is a covariant functor.

In the sequel, we investigate some properties of the functor  $k[-]$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** [4, Proposition 3.2.6] *The functor  $k[-]$  is exact in the sense that it carries admissible exact sequences to exact sequences (in the usual sense of abelian categories).*

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $a \in S$ , and let  $f : S \rightarrow S$  be a monoid homomorphism, such that  $f(s) = as$ , for every  $s \in S$ . Then  $k[S/aS] \cong k[S]/ak[S]$ .*

*Proof.* The sequence  $0 \rightarrow aS \xrightarrow{\iota} S \xrightarrow{\pi} S/aS \rightarrow 0$  is an admissible exact sequence of  $S$ -acts by [8, Proposition 3.2]. Then the sequence  $0 \rightarrow k[aS] \xrightarrow{k[\iota]} k[S] \xrightarrow{k[\pi]} k[S/aS] \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of  $k[S]$ -modules, by Proposition 2.4. Then  $k[S/aS] \cong k[S]/k[aS]$ . Note that,  $k[aS] = ak[S]$ , and so we get the assertion.  $\square$

It is well-known that the functors  $k[-]$  and  $U$  form an adjunction with  $k[-]$  a left adjoint to  $U$  by [4]. According to this fact and [7, Lemma 7.5.1], we have the following result.

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $S \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *For a family  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $S$ -acts,  $k[\prod_{i \in I} X_i] \cong \prod_{i \in I} k[X_i]$ .*
- (ii) *For a family  $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $k[S]$ -modules,  $U(\prod_{i \in I} M_i) \cong \prod_{i \in I} U(M_i)$ .*
- (iii) *For an  $S$ -homomorphism  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ ,*

$$k[\text{coeq}(f, g)] \cong \text{coeq}(k[f], k[g]),$$

where  $\text{coeq}(f, g)$  is the coequalizer of  $f$  and  $g$ .

(iv) For an  $k[S]$ -homomorphism  $f, g : M \rightarrow N$ ,

$$U(\text{eq}(f, g)) \cong \text{eq}(U(f), U(g)),$$

where  $\text{eq}(f, g)$  is the equalizer of  $f$  and  $g$ .

(v) For an  $S$ -homomorphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ ,

$$k[\text{Coker}(f)] \cong \text{Coker}(k[f]).$$

(vi) For an  $k[S]$ -homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow N$ ,

$$U(\text{Ker}(f)) \cong \text{Ker}(U(f)).$$

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  be  $S$ -homomorphisms. Then  $k[\text{eq}(f, g)] \subseteq \text{eq}(k[f], k[g])$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $E = \text{eq}(f, g)$  and  $E' = \text{eq}(k[f], k[g])$ . If  $\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ 0 \neq x_i \in E}}^n k_i e_{x_i} \in k[E]$ , then  $\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ 0 \neq x_i \in E}}^n k_i e_{f(x_i)} = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ 0 \neq x_i \in E}}^n k_i e_{g(x_i)}$ , and so  $\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ 0 \neq x_i \in E}}^n k_i e_{x_i} \in E'$ , as desired.  $\square$

In the following, we show that the  $k$ -realization functor preserves and reflects monomorphisms.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an  $S$ -homomorphism. Then  $k[f] : k[X] \rightarrow k[Y]$  is a  $k[S]$ -monomorphism if and only if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is an  $S$ -monomorphism.*

*Proof. Necessity.* Let  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ ,  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . Then  $k[f](e_{x_1}) = e_{f(x_1)} = e_{f(x_2)} = k[f](e_{x_2})$  and since  $k[f]$  is a  $k[S]$ -monomorphism, we have  $e_{x_1} = e_{x_2}$ . So,  $x_1 = x_2$ .

*Sufficiency.* Let  $\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ 0 \neq x_i \in X}}^n k_i e_{x_i} \in \text{Ker}(k[f])$ . Then  $\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ 0 \neq x_i \in X}}^n k_i e_{f(x_i)} = 0$ . Since  $f$  is an  $S$ -monomorphism and  $0 \neq x_i \in X$ ,  $f(x_i) \neq 0$ . Hence,  $k_i = 0$ , for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , as desired.

$\square$

In what follows, we study some injectivity transferrings between modules and acts. Injective objects are defined in the categorical manner, see [8].

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  and  $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be two functors such that  $F$  is left adjoint to  $G$ . Also, let  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'$  be certain subclasses of morphisms of  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ , respectively. If for all  $f \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $Ff \in \mathcal{M}'$ , then for any  $\mathcal{M}'$ -injective object  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $GD$  is an  $\mathcal{M}$ -injective object of  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* See [1, Page 136].  $\square$

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $M$  be an injective  $k[S]$ -module. Then  $M$  is an injective  $S$ -act.*

*Proof.* The functor  $k[-]$  is a left adjoint to  $U$ . Also, the functor  $k$  preserves monomorphisms by Lemma 2.8. Therefore, Lemma 2.9 implies that  $U({}_k[S]M) = {}_S M$  is an injective  $S$ -act, where  $U({}_k[S]M)$  is  $U(M)$  viewing  $M$  as a  $k[S]$ -module.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.11.** *Let  $X$  be an  $S$ -act. If  $k[X]$  is an injective  $k[S]$ -module, then  $k[X]$  is an injective  $S$ -act.*

**Corollary 2.12.** *Let a  $k[S]$ -module  $M$  be an injective extension of a  $k[S]$ -module  $N$ . Then the  $S$ -act  $M$  is an injective extension of the  $S$ -act  $N$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f : N \rightarrow M$  be a  $k[S]$ -monomorphism, and let  $M$  be an injective  $k[S]$ -module. Then  $U(f) = f : N \rightarrow M$  is an  $S$ -monomorphism. On the other hand,  $M$  is an injective  $S$ -act by Proposition 2.10. So, the result is obtained.  $\square$

In the following, we show that the category  $S - \mathbf{Act}_0$  has enough injective objects. It should be noted that this fact the ordinary category of  $S$ -acts has been proved in [6, Corollary 3.1.6]. Every object in the category  $S - \mathbf{Act}_0$  has a unique zero element, but in [6, Theorem 3.1.5], it was shown that an  $S$ -act  $X$  is embedded in the cofree  $S$ -act  $X^S$  which is injective and has no unique zero element. Indeed, every constant map  $g_{x_0} : S \rightarrow X$ ,  $g_{x_0}(s) = x_0$  for all  $s \in S$ , is a zero element of  $X^S$ .

The following theorem has been proven in [2, Corollary 1], but it is proven here using methods of functor.

**Theorem 2.13.** [2] *The category  $S - \mathbf{Act}_0$  has enough injective objects.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be an  $S$ -act. Consider the  $k[S]$ -module  $k[X]$ . Therefore, there exists an injective  $k[S]$ -module  $E$  and a  $k[S]$ -monomorphism  $f : k[X] \rightarrow E$ . By Corollary 2.12, the  $S$ -act  $E$  is an injective extension of the  $S$ -act  $k[X]$ , and  $U(f) = f : k[X] \rightarrow E$  is an  $S$ -monomorphism. On the other hand, the morphism  $g : X \rightarrow k[X]$  given by  $g(x) = e_x$  for all  $0 \neq x \in X$  and  $g(0_X) = 0_{k[X]}$  is an  $S$ -monomorphism. Hence,  $f \circ g : X \rightarrow E$  is an  $S$ -monomorphism, which completes the proof.  $\square$

Recall from [7, Definition 4.1.2] that the functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is called *full* if for every object  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}$  and every morphism  $g : F(C_1) \rightarrow F(C_2)$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ , there exists a morphism  $f : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $F(f) = g$ . Moreover,  $F$  is called *faithful* if for every morphism  $f, g \in \mathcal{C}$ , the condition  $F(f) = F(g)$  implies that  $f = g$ .

**Proposition 2.14.** *The functor  $k[-]$  is faithful.*

*Proof.* Let  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  be two  $S$ -homomorphisms such that  $k[f] = k[g]$ . Hence,  $k[f](e_x) = k[g](e_x)$ , for every  $x \in X$ . Therefore,  $e_{f(x)} = e_{g(x)}$ , for every  $x \in X$ , and so  $f = g$ .  $\square$

The following example shows that the faithful functor  $k[-]$  is not full.

**Example 2.15.** Let  $S = \{0, 1, r\}$  be a monoid with  $r$  being an idempotent element. Then  $X = rS = \{r, 0\}$  and  $Y = S$  are both  $S$ -acts. Hence,  $k[X] = \{k'e_r \mid k' \in k\}$  and  $k[Y] = \{k_1e_1 + k_re_r \mid k_1, k_r \in k\}$  are  $k[S]$ -module. Define a map  $g : k[X] \rightarrow k[Y]$  by  $g(k'e_r) = k'e_1 + k'e_r$ . It is routine to check that  $g$  is a  $k[S]$ -homomorphism. Notice that  $|X| = 2$  and  $|Y| = 3$ . Then there are 9 maps from  $X$  to  $Y$ , only 6 of which are  $S$ -homomorphisms and for all of them  $k[f] \neq g$ , where,  $f$  is an  $S$ -homomorphism from  $X$  to  $Y$ . Therefore, the functor  $k[-]$  is not full.

### 3. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to sincerely thank the referees for several useful comments.

### REFERENCES

- [1] B. Banaschewski, *Injectivity and essential extensions in equational class of algebras*, Queen's Pap. Pure Appl. Math., **25** (1970) 131-147.
- [2] P. Berthiaume, *The injective envelope of  $S$ -sets*, Canad. Math. Bull., **10** No. 2 (1967) 261-273.
- [3] G. Cortinas, C. Haesemeyer, M. E. Walker and C. Weibel, *Toric varieties, monoid schemes and cdh descent*, J. reine angew. Math., **698** (2015) 1-54.
- [4] J. Flores, *Homological Algebra for Commutative Monoids*, PhD thesis, Rutgers University, New Jersey, 2015.
- [5] J. Flores and C. Weibel, *Picard groups and class groups of monoid schemes*, J. Algebra., **415** (2014) 247-263.
- [6] M. Kilp, U. Knauer and A. V. Mikhaev, *Monoids, Acts and Categories with Applications to Wreath Products and Graphs*, Walter de Gruyter Berlin, New York, 2000.
- [7] V. S. Krishnan, *An Introduction to Category Theory*, North-Holland, 1981.
- [8] E. Nafarieh Talkhoonchek, M. Salimi, H. Rasouli, E. Tavasoli and A. Tehranian, *Admissible (Rees) exact sequences and flat acts*, JAS., **12** No. 2 (2025) 327-346.

**Elahe Nafarieh Talkhoonchek**

Department of Mathematics,  
SR.C., Islamic Azad University,  
Tehran, Iran.

elahe.nafarieh@iaua.ac.ir

**Maryam Salimi**

Department of Mathematics,  
ET.C., Islamic Azad University,  
Tehran, Iran.

`maryamsalimi@iau.ac.ir`

**Hamid Rasouli**

Department of Mathematics,  
SR.C., Islamic Azad University,  
Tehran, Iran.

`h.rasouli@iau.ac.ir`

**Elham Tavasoli**

Department of Mathematics,  
ET.C., Islamic Azad University,  
Tehran, Iran.

`elham.tavasoli@iau.ac.ir`

**Abolfazl Tehranian**

Department of Mathematics,  
SR.C., Islamic Azad University,  
Tehran, Iran.

`Abolfazl.Tehranian@iau.ac.ir`