

Research Paper

## DEDUCTIVE SYSTEMS OF GE-ALGEBRAS

YOUNG BAE JUN AND RAVIKUMAR BANDARU\*

ABSTRACT. A new sub-structure called (vivid) deductive system is introduced and their properties are examined. Conditions for a subset to be a deductive system are provided. The notion of upper GE-set is also introduced, and an example to show that any upper GE-set may not be a deductive system are supplied. Conditions for an upper GE-set to be a deductive system are provided. An upper GE-set is used to consider conditions for a subset to be a deductive system. The characterization of deductive system is established, and relationship between deductive system and vivid deductive system are created. Conditions for a deductive system to be a vivid deductive system are given, and the extension property for vivid deductive system is constructed.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Following the introduction of Hilbert algebras by L. Henkin in early 50-ties and A. Diego[7], the algebra and related concepts were developed by D. Busneag [4, 5, 6]. Y. B. Jun gave

DOI: 10.29252/as.2021.2319

MSC(2010): Primary: 03G25; Secondary: 06F35.

Keywords: Deductive system, Vivid deductive system, Upper GE-set.

Received: 17 August 2021, Accepted: 20 September 2021.

\*Corresponding author

characterizations of deductive systems in Hilbert algebras (see [8, 9]), introduced the notion of commutative Hilbert algebras and gave some characterizations of a commutative Hilbert algebra (see [9]). In mathematics, Hilbert algebras occur in the theory of von Neumann algebras in: Commutation theorem and Tomita-Takesaki theory, and it is an important tool for certain investigations in algebraic logic since they can be considered as fragments of any propositional logic containing a logical connective implication ( $\rightarrow$ ) and the constant 1 which is considered as the logical value “true”. The study of generalization of one known algebraic structure is also an important research task. As a generalization of a Hilbert algebra, Bandaru, Borumand Saeid and Jun[1] introduced the notion of a GE-algebra, and investigated several properties. Different new substructures have been introduced in a GE-algebra such as voluntary GE-filters, belligerent GE-filters, imploring GE-filters and prominent GE-filters and studied their properties(see [2, 3, 10]).

In this manuscript, we introduce a new sub-structure called (vivid) deductive system and examine their properties. We provide conditions for a subset to be a deductive system. We also introduce the notion of upper GE-set, and give example to show that any upper GE-set may not be a deductive system. We provide conditions for an upper GE-set to be a deductive system. Using an upper GE-set, we consider conditions for a subset to be a deductive system. We establish characterization of deductive system. We discuss relationship between deductive system and vivid deductive system. We provide conditions for a deductive system to be a vivid deductive system. We build the extension property for vivid deductive system.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 2.1** ([1]). By a *GE-algebra* we mean a non-empty set  $X$  with a constant 1 and a binary operation “ $*$ ” satisfying the following axioms:

$$(GE1) \quad u * u = 1,$$

$$(GE2) \quad 1 * u = u,$$

$$(GE3) \quad u * (v * w) = u * (v * (u * w))$$

for all  $u, v, w \in X$ .

In a GE-algebra  $X$ , a binary relation “ $\leq$ ” is defined by

$$(1) \quad (\forall x, y \in X) (x \leq y \Leftrightarrow x * y = 1).$$

**Definition 2.2** ([1, 2]). A GE-algebra  $X$  is said to be

- *transitive* if it satisfies:

$$(2) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in X) (x * y \leq (z * x) * (z * y)).$$

- *left exchangeable* if it satisfies:

$$(3) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in X) (x * (y * z) = y * (x * z)).$$

- *belligerent* if it satisfies:

$$(4) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in X) (x * (y * z) = (x * y) * (x * z)).$$

**Proposition 2.3** ([1]). *Every GE-algebra  $X$  satisfies the following items.*

$$(5) \quad (\forall u \in X) (u * 1 = 1).$$

$$(6) \quad (\forall u, v \in X) (u * (u * v) = u * v).$$

$$(7) \quad (\forall u, v \in X) (u \leq v * u).$$

$$(8) \quad (\forall u, v, w \in X) (u * (v * w) \leq v * (u * w)).$$

$$(9) \quad (\forall u \in X) (1 \leq u \Rightarrow u = 1).$$

$$(10) \quad (\forall u, v \in X) (u \leq (v * u) * u).$$

$$(11) \quad (\forall u, v \in X) (u \leq (u * v) * v).$$

$$(12) \quad (\forall u, v, w \in X) (u \leq v * w \Leftrightarrow v \leq u * w).$$

*If  $X$  is transitive, then*

$$(13) \quad (\forall u, v, w \in X) (u \leq v \Rightarrow w * u \leq w * v, v * w \leq u * w).$$

$$(14) \quad (\forall u, v, w \in X) (u * v \leq (v * w) * (u * w)).$$

**Lemma 2.4** ([1]). *In a GE-algebra  $X$ , the following facts are equivalent each other.*

$$(15) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in X) (x * y \leq (z * x) * (z * y)).$$

$$(16) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in X) (x * y \leq (y * z) * (x * z)).$$

**Definition 2.5** ([1]). A subset  $D$  of a GE-algebra  $X$  is called a *GE-filter* of  $X$  if it satisfies:

$$(17) \quad 1 \in D,$$

$$(18) \quad (\forall x, y \in X) (x * y \in D, x \in D \Rightarrow y \in D).$$

### 3. DEDUCTIVE SYSTEMS

In what follows let  $X$  denote a GE-algebra unless otherwise specified.

**Definition 3.1.** A nonempty subset  $D$  of  $X$  is called a *deductive system* of  $X$  if it satisfies:

$$(D1) \quad X * D := \{x * a \mid x \in X, a \in D\} \subseteq D.$$

$$(D2) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in X) (y, z \in D \Rightarrow (y * (z * x)) * x \in D).$$

**Example 3.2.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  be a set with the Cayley table which is given in Table 1.

TABLE 1. Cayley table for the binary operation “ $*$ ”

$*$	0	1	2	3	4
0	1	1	2	3	3
1	0	1	2	3	4
2	0	1	1	4	4
3	0	1	1	1	1
4	0	1	1	1	1

Then  $(X, *, 1)$  is a GE-algebra, and it is routine to verify that  $D := \{0, 1\}$  is a deductive system of  $X$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Every deductive system contains the constant 1.*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a deductive system of  $X$ . For every  $x \in D$ , we have

$$1 = x * x \in D * D \subseteq X * D \subseteq D$$

by (GE1). This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Every deductive system of  $X$  satisfies:*

$$(19) \quad (\forall x, y \in X)(y \in D \Rightarrow (y * x) * x \in D).$$

*Proof.* If we take  $z = 1$  in (D2) and use (GE2), then  $(y * x) * x = (y * (1 * x)) * x \in D$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Every deductive system of  $X$  satisfies:*

$$(20) \quad (\forall x, y \in X)(y \in D, y \leq x \Rightarrow x \in D).$$

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$  be such that  $y \in D$  and  $y \leq x$ . Then  $y * x = 1$ , and so  $x = 1 * x = (y * x) * x \in D$ .  $\square$

We consider a subset  $D$  of  $X$  that satisfies:

$$(21) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in X)(x * (y * z) \in D, y \in D \Rightarrow x * z \in D).$$

**Lemma 3.6.** *If a subset  $D$  of  $X$  satisfies two conditions (17) and (21), then  $D$  satisfies (20).*

*Proof.* Assume that a subset  $D$  of  $X$  satisfies two conditions (17) and (21). Let  $x, y \in X$  be such that  $y \in D$  and  $y \leq x$ . Then  $1 * (y * x) = 1 * 1 = 1 \in D$ , and so  $x = 1 * x \in D$ . Hence  $D$  satisfies (20).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** *Every deductive system  $D$  of  $X$  satisfies two conditions (17) and (21).*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a deductive system of a GE-algebra  $X$ . Then  $D$  contains the constant 1 by Lemma 3.3. Let  $x, y, z \in D$  be such that  $x*(y*z) \in D$  and  $y \in D$ . Then  $(x*(y*z))*(y*(x*z)) = 1$  by (8). It follows from (GE2) and (D2) that

$$x * z = 1 * (x * z) = ((x * (y * z)) * (y * (x * z))) * (x * z) \in D.$$

Hence (21) is valid.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** *If a subset  $D$  of  $X$  satisfies two conditions (17) and (21), then  $D$  is a deductive system of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a subset of  $X$  that satisfies (17) and (21). Let  $y \in X * D$ . Then  $y = x * a$  for some  $x \in X$  and  $a \in D$ . Then  $x * (a * a) = x * 1 = 1 \in D$  by (GE1), (5) and (17). It follows from (21) that  $y = x * a \in D$ . Hence  $X * D \subseteq D$ . Let  $x \in X$  and  $y, z \in D$ . Using (GE1), (GE2), (GE3), (5) and (17) and we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 * (y * ((y * (z * x)) * (z * x))) &= y * ((y * (z * x)) * (z * x)) \\ &= y * ((y * (z * x)) * (y * (z * x))) = y * 1 = 1 \in D. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (GE2) and (21) that

$$(y * (z * x)) * (z * x) = 1 * ((y * (z * x)) * (z * x)) \in D.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 1 * (z * ((y * (z * x)) * x)) &= z * ((y * (z * x)) * x) \\ &= z * ((y * (z * x)) * (z * x)) \in D \end{aligned}$$

which implies from (GE2) and (21) that  $(y * (z * x)) * x \in D$ . Therefore  $D$  is a deductive system of  $X$ .  $\square$

For any  $a, b \in X$ , we consider the set

$$(22) \quad X_a^b := \{x \in X \mid a \leq b * x\},$$

which is called the *upper GE-set* of  $a$  and  $b$  in  $X$ .

**Example 3.9.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  be a set with the Cayley table which is given in Table 2.

TABLE 2. Cayley table for the binary operation “\*”

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	1	1	1	3	3
1	0	1	2	3	4
2	0	1	1	4	4
3	1	1	2	1	1
4	0	1	1	1	1

Then  $(X, *, 1)$  is a GE-algebra and all upper GE-sets are calculated as follows.

$$X_0^0 = X_0^1 = X_0^2 = X_1^0 = X_2^0 = \{0, 1, 2\},$$

$$X_1^1 = \{1\},$$

$$X_1^2 = X_2^1 = X_2^2 = \{1, 2\},$$

$$X_1^3 = X_3^1 = X_3^3 = \{0, 1, 3, 4\},$$

$$X_1^4 = X_2^4 = X_4^1 = X_4^2 = X_4^4 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\},$$

$$X_0^3 = X_0^4 = X_2^3 = X_3^0 = X_3^2 = X_3^4 = X_4^0 = X_4^3 = X.$$

**Proposition 3.10.** *In a GE-algebra  $X$ , we have*

$$(i) (\forall a, b \in X) (1, a, b \in X_a^b).$$

$$(ii) (\forall a, b \in X) (b \leq x \text{ for all } x \in X \Rightarrow X_a^b = X = X_b^a).$$

*Proof.* (i) is straightforward by (GE1), (5) and (7). Let  $a, b \in X$  be such that  $b \leq x$  for all  $x \in X$ . For any  $z \in X$ , we have  $a * (b * z) = a * 1 = 1$ , that is,  $a \leq b * z$ . Thus  $z \in X_a^b = X_b^a$ . Therefore (ii) is valid.  $\square$

The following example shows that the upper GE-set of  $a$  and  $b$  in  $X$  is not a deductive system of  $X$ .

**Example 3.11.** In Example 3.9, we can observe that  $X_1^3 = \{0, 1, 3, 4\}$  and it is not a deductive system of  $X$  since  $3, 4 \in X_1^3$  but  $(3 * (4 * 2)) * 2 = 2 \notin X_1^3$ .

We provide conditions for the upper GE-set to be a deductive system.

**Theorem 3.12.** *In a belligerent GE-algebra  $X$ , the upper GE-set of  $a$  and  $b$  in  $X$  is a deductive system of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $X$  is a belligerent GE-algebra. Let  $x \in X * X_a^b$ . Then  $x = y * z$  for some  $y \in X$  and  $z \in X_a^b$ . Hence  $a \leq b * z$ , i.e.,  $a * (b * z) = 1$ . It follows from (5) and (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} a * (b * (y * z)) &= a * ((b * y) * (b * z)) \\ &= (a * (b * y)) * (a * (b * z)) \\ &= (a * (b * y)) * 1 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $x = y * z \in X_a^b$ , and thus  $X * X_a^b \subseteq X_a^b$ . Let  $x \in X$  and  $y, z \in X_a^b$ . Then  $a \leq b * y$  and  $a \leq b * z$ , i.e.,  $a * (b * y) = 1$  and  $a * (b * z) = 1$ . The combination of (GE1), (GE2) and (4) induces

$$\begin{aligned} a * (b * ((y * (z * x)) * x)) &= a * ((b * (y * (z * x))) * (b * x)) \\ &= (a * (b * (y * (z * x)))) * (a * (b * x)) \\ &= ((a * (b * y)) * (a * (b * (z * x)))) * (a * (b * x)) \\ &= (1 * (a * (b * (z * x)))) * (a * (b * x)) \\ &= (a * (b * (z * x))) * (a * (b * x)) \\ &= (((a * (b * z)) * (a * (b * x)))) * (a * (b * x)) \\ &= ((1 * (a * (b * x)))) * (a * (b * x)) \\ &= (a * (b * x)) * (a * (b * x)) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

that is,  $a \leq b * ((y * (z * x)) * x)$ . Hence  $(y * (z * x)) * x \in X_a^b$ . In conclusion,  $X_a^b$  is a deductive system of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.13.** *Every deductive system  $D$  of  $X$  contains the upper GE-set  $X_a^b$  for all  $a, b \in D$ .*

*Proof.* For every  $a, b \in D$ , let  $x \in X_a^b$ . Then  $a \leq b * x$ , i.e.,  $a * (b * x) = 1$ . It follows from (GE2) and (D2) that  $x = 1 * x = (a * (b * x)) * x \in D$ . Hence  $X_a^b \subseteq D$  for all  $a, b \in D$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.14.** *If a subset  $D$  of  $X$  satisfies:*

$$(23) \quad (\forall a, b \in D)(X_a^b \subseteq D),$$

*then  $D$  is a deductive system of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a subset of a GE-algebra  $X$  that satisfies the condition (23). Then  $1 \in X_a^b \subseteq D$ . Let  $x, y, z \in X$  be such that  $x * (y * z) \in D$  and  $y \in D$ . The condition (8) induces  $(x * (y * z)) * (y * (x * z)) = 1$ . Hence  $x * z \in X_a^b \subseteq D$  for  $a := x * (y * z)$  and  $b := y$ . It follows from Theorem 3.8 that  $D$  is a deductive system of  $X$ .  $\square$

By the combination of Theorem 3.13 and Theorem 3.14, we have a characterization of a deductive system as follows.

**Theorem 3.15.** *A subset  $D$  of  $X$  is a deductive system of  $X$  if and only if it satisfies (23).*

**Theorem 3.16.** *Every deductive system  $D$  of  $X$  is represented by the union of the upper GE-sets for all  $a, b \in D$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a deductive system of  $X$ . If  $x \in D$ , then clearly  $x \in X_x^1$  and thus

$$D \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in D} X_x^1 \subseteq \bigcup_{a, b \in D} X_a^b.$$

If  $y \in \bigcup_{a, b \in D} X_a^b$ , then  $y \in X_a^b$  for some  $a, b \in D$  and so  $y \in D$  by Theorem 3.13. This shows that  $\bigcup_{a, b \in D} X_a^b \subseteq D$ . Therefore  $D = \bigcup_{a, b \in D} X_a^b$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.17.** *If  $D$  is a deductive system of  $X$ , then  $D = \bigcup_{x \in D} X_x^1$ .*

**Definition 3.18.** A nonempty subset  $D$  of  $X$  is called a *vivid deductive system* of  $X$  if it satisfies (D1) and

$$(24) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in X)(x \in D, x * (y * z) \in D \Rightarrow ((z * y) * y) * z \in D).$$

**Example 3.19.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  be a set with the Cayley table which is given in Table 3. Then  $(X, *, 1)$  is a GE-algebra. It is routine to verify that  $D := \{0, 1, 2\}$  is a vivid deductive

TABLE 3. Cayley table for the binary operation “ $*$ ”

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	1	1	2	3	4
1	0	1	2	3	4
2	1	1	1	3	3
3	0	1	1	1	1
4	0	1	2	1	1

system of  $X$ .

It is clear that  $D := \{1\}$  is a deductive system of  $X$ , but it is not a vivid deductive system of  $X$  as seen in the following example.



TABLE 4. Cayley table for the binary operation “\*”

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	1	1	1	1	4
1	0	1	2	3	4
2	0	1	1	1	4
3	0	1	2	1	1
4	1	1	1	3	1

**Example 3.20.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  be a set with the Cayley table which is given in Table 4. Then  $(X, *, 1)$  is a GE-algebra. The set  $D := \{1\}$  is not a vivid deductive system of  $X$  since  $1 \in D$  and  $1 * (0 * 2) = 1 * 1 = 1 \in D$  but

$$((2 * 0) * 0) * 2 = (0 * 0) * 2 = 1 * 2 = 2 \notin D.$$

**Question 3.21.** *If  $X$  is a left exchangeable and transitive GE-algebra, then is the set  $D := \{1\}$  a vivid deductive system of  $X$ ?*

The answer to Question 3.21 is negative as seen in the following example.

**Example 3.22.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  be a set with the Cayley table which is given in Table 5. Then  $(X, *, 1)$  is a GE-algebra which is left exchangeable and transitive. We can observe

TABLE 5. Cayley table for the binary operation “\*”

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	1	1	2	1	1
1	0	1	2	3	4
2	1	1	1	1	1
3	0	1	2	1	1
4	0	1	2	1	1

that  $D := \{1\}$  is not a vivid deductive system of  $X$  since  $1 \in D$  and  $1 * (2 * 0) = 1 * 1 = 1 \in D$  but

$$((0 * 2) * 2) * 0 = (2 * 2) * 0 = 1 * 0 = 0 \notin D.$$

We discuss relationship between deductive system and vivid deductive system.

**Theorem 3.23.** *Every vivid deductive system is a deductive system.*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a vivid deductive system of  $X$ . Note that  $1 \in D$  by (GE1) and (D1). We first show that

$$(25) \quad (\forall x, y \in X)(x \in D, x * y \in D \Rightarrow y \in D).$$

Let  $x, y \in X$  be such that  $x \in D$  and  $x * y \in D$ . Then  $x * (1 * y) = x * y \in D$  by (GE2), and so  $y = ((y * 1) * 1) * y \in D$  by (GE2), (5) and (24). For every  $x \in X$  and  $y, z \in D$ , we have

$$y * ((y * (z * x)) * (z * x)) = y * ((y * (z * x)) * (y * (z * x))) = y * 1 = 1 \in D$$

by (GE1), (GE3) and (5). It follows from (25) that  $(y * (z * x)) * (z * x) \in D$ . Hence

$$z * ((y * (z * x)) * x) = z * ((y * (z * x)) * (z * x)) \in D$$

by (GE3) and (D1), and thus  $(y * (z * x)) * x \in D$  by (25). Therefore  $D$  is a deductive system of  $X$ .  $\square$

The following example shows that any deductive system may not be a vivid deductive system.

**Example 3.24.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  be a set with the Cayley table which is given in Table 6.

TABLE 6. Cayley table for the binary operation “ $*$ ”

$*$	0	1	2	3	4
0	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	1	2	3	4
2	0	1	1	3	3
3	0	1	1	1	1
4	0	1	1	1	1

Then  $(X, *, 1)$  is a GE-algebra and it is routine to verify that  $D := \{1, 2\}$  is a deductive system of  $X$ . But it is not a vivid deductive system of  $X$  since  $1 \in D$  and  $1 * (0 * 3) = 1 * 1 = 1 \in D$  but

$$((3 * 0) * 0) * 3 = (0 * 0) * 3 = 1 * 3 = 3 \notin D.$$

We provide conditions for a deductive system to be a vivid deductive system.

**Theorem 3.25.** *A deductive system  $D$  of  $X$  is vivid if and only if it satisfies:*

$$(26) \quad (\forall y, z \in X)(y * z \in D \Rightarrow ((z * y) * y) * z \in D).$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $D$  is a vivid deductive system of  $X$ . Let  $y, z \in X$  be such that  $y * z \in D$ . Then  $1 * (y * z) = y * z \in D$  by (GE2), which implies from Lemma 3.3 and (24) that  $((z * y) * y) * z \in D$ .

Conversely, let  $D$  be a deductive system of  $X$  that satisfies (26). Let  $x, y, z \in X$  be such that  $x \in D$  and  $x * (y * z) \in D$ . Then

$$y * z = 1 * (y * z) = ((x * (y * z)) * (x * (y * z))) * (y * z) \in D$$

by (GE1), (GE2) and (D2). It follows from (26) that  $((z * y) * y) * z \in D$ . Therefore  $D$  is a vivid deductive system of  $X$ .  $\square$

Given a subset  $D$  of  $X$ , consider the next assertion:

$$(27) \quad (\forall x, y \in X)((x * y) * x \in D \Rightarrow x \in D).$$

In the following example, we can verify that any deductive system  $D$  of  $X$  does not satisfy the condition (27).

**Example 3.26.** In Example 3.2, we can observe that the deductive system  $D = \{0, 1\}$  of  $X$  does not satisfy the condition (27) since  $(2 * 4) * 2 = 4 * 2 = 1 \in D$  but  $2 \notin D$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$  be such that  $x \in D$  and  $x * y = 1$ . Then  $x * y \in D$  by Lemma 3.3. It follows from (GE1), (GE2) and (D2) that  $y = 1 * y = ((x * y) * (x * y)) * y \in D$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.27.** *Let  $X$  be a transitive GE-algebra. If a deductive system  $D$  of  $X$  satisfies the condition (27), then it is a vivid deductive system of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that a deductive system  $D$  of  $X$  satisfies the condition (27). Let  $x, y, z \in X$  be such that  $x \in D$  and  $x * ((y * z) * y) \in D$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (y * z) * y &= 1 * ((y * z) * y) \\ &= ((x * ((y * z) * y)) * (x * ((y * z) * y))) * ((y * z) * y) \in D \end{aligned}$$

by (GE1), (GE2) and (D2). Thus  $y \in D$  by (27). This shows that

$$(28) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in X)(x \in D, x * ((y * z) * y) \in D \Rightarrow y \in D).$$

Let  $y, z \in X$  be such that  $y * z \in D$ . Since  $X$  is transitive, the combination of (7) and (13) induces  $((z * y) * y) * z * y \leq z * y$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} y * z &\leq ((z * y) * y) * ((z * y) * z) \\ &\leq (z * y) * (((z * y) * y) * z) \\ &\leq (((z * y) * y) * z) * y * (((z * y) * y) * z). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (GE2) and Corollary 3.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} &1 * (((z * y) * y) * z) * y * (((z * y) * y) * z) \\ &= (((z * y) * y) * z) * y * (((z * y) * y) * z) \in D. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $((z * y) * y) * z \in D$  by (28). Therefore  $D$  is a vivid deductive system of  $X$  by Theorem 3.25.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.28.** *The intersection of two vivid deductive systems is also a vivid deductive system.*

*Proof.* Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be vivid deductive systems of  $X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} X * (D_1 \cap D_2) &= \{x * a \mid x \in X, a \in D_1 \cap D_2\} \\ &= \{x * a \mid x \in X, a \in D_1\} \cap \{x * a \mid x \in X, a \in D_2\} \\ &\subseteq D_1 \cap D_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $x, y, z \in X$  be such that  $y, z \in D_1 \cap D_2$ . Then  $y, z \in D_1$  and  $y, z \in D_2$ . It follows from (D2) that  $(y * (z * x)) * x \in D_1$  and  $(y * (z * x)) * x \in D_2$ . Hence  $(y * (z * x)) * x \in D_1 \cap D_2$ , and therefore  $D_1 \cap D_2$  is a vivid deductive system of  $X$ .  $\square$

The following example shows that the union of vivid deductive systems may not be a vivid deductive system.

**Example 3.29.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  be a set with the Cayley table which is given in Table 7. Then  $(X, *, 1)$  is a GE-algebra. Let  $D_1 := \{1, 3\}$  and  $D_2 := \{1, 4\}$ . Then we can observe that  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are vivid deductive systems of  $X$ . But  $D_1 \cup D_2 := \{1, 3, 4\}$  is not a vivid deductive system of  $X$  since  $3 \in D_1 \cup D_2$  and  $3 * (0 * 2) = 3 * 2 = 4 \in D_1 \cup D_2$  but

$$((2 * 0) * 0) * 2 = (0 * 0) * 2 = 1 * 2 = 2 \notin D_1 \cup D_2.$$

**Question 3.30.** *Consider deductive systems  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  of  $X$  with  $D_1 \subseteq D_2$ . If  $D_1$  is a vivid deductive system of  $X$ , is  $D_2$  also a vivid deductive system of  $X$ ?*

TABLE 7. Cayley table for the binary operation “\*”

*	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	1	1	2	3	4	2
1	0	1	2	3	4	5
2	0	1	1	1	1	1
3	0	1	4	1	4	4
4	0	1	3	3	1	3
5	0	1	1	1	1	1

The answer to Question 3.30 is negative as seen in the following example.

**Example 3.31.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  be a set with the Cayley table which is given in Table 8.

TABLE 8. Cayley table for the binary operation “\*”

*	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	1	1	2	3	2	1
1	0	1	2	3	4	5
2	5	1	1	1	5	5
3	0	1	1	1	0	0
4	1	1	1	3	1	1
5	1	1	2	3	2	1

Then  $(X, *, 1)$  is a GE-algebra. Clearly  $D_1 = \{1\}$  and  $D_2 = \{0, 1, 5\}$  are deductive systems of  $X$  and  $D_1 \subseteq D_2$ . We can observe that  $D_1$  is a vivid deductive system of  $X$ . But  $D_2$  is not a vivid deductive system of  $X$  since  $5 \in D_2$  and  $5 * (3 * 4) = 5 * 0 = 1 \in D_2$  but  $((4 * 3) * 3) * 4 = (3 * 3) * 4 = 1 * 4 = 4 \notin D_2$ .

We explore conditions in which the answer to Question 3.30 can be positive.

**Theorem 3.32.** (Extension property) *Assume that  $X$  is a transitive GE-algebra. Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be deductive systems of  $X$  with  $D_1 \subseteq D_2$ . If  $D_1$  is a vivid deductive system of  $X$ , then so is  $D_2$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $D_1$  is a vivid deductive system of  $X$  and let  $y, z \in X$  be such that  $y * z \in D_2$ . Using (GE1), (GE3) and (5), we get

$$y * ((y * z) * z) = y * ((y * z) * (y * z)) = y * 1 = 1 \in D_1,$$

and so  $(((((y * z) * z) * y) * y) * ((y * z) * z)) \in D_1 \subseteq D_2$  by Theorem 3.25. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & (y * z) * ((((((y * z) * z) * y) * y) * z)) \\ &= (y * z) * ((((((y * z) * z) * y) * y) * ((y * z) * z))) \in D_2 \end{aligned}$$

by (GE3) and (D1). It follows from (GE1), (GE2) and (D2) that

$$a * z = 1 * (a * z) = (((y * z) * (a * z)) * ((y * z) * (a * z))) * (a * z) \in D_2$$

where  $a := (((y * z) * z) * y) * y$ . Since  $X$  is transitive, the combination of (7) and (13) induces  $(a * z) * (((z * y) * y) * z) = 1$ . Hence  $((z * y) * y) * z \in D_2$  by Corollary 3.5. Therefore  $D_2$  is a vivid deductive system of  $X$  by Theorem 3.25.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.33.** *Let  $X$  be a transitive GE-algebra. Then  $\{1\}$  is a vivid deductive system of  $X$  if and only if all deductive systems of  $X$  are vivid.*

The following example describes Theorem 3.32.

**Example 3.34.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  be a set with the Cayley table which is given in Table 9.

TABLE 9. Cayley table for the binary operation “\*”

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	1	1	2	3	4
1	0	1	2	3	4
2	1	1	1	1	1
3	0	1	4	1	4
4	1	1	3	3	1

Then  $(X, *, 1)$  is a transitive GE-algebra in which  $\{1\}$  is not a vivid deductive system of  $X$  since  $2 * 0 = 1 \in \{1\}$  but  $((0 * 2) * 2) * 0 = (2 * 2) * 0 = 1 * 0 = 0 \notin \{1\}$ . Let  $D_1 = \{0, 1\}$  and  $D_2 = \{0, 1, 4\}$ . Then  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are deductive systems of  $X$  with  $D_1 \subseteq D_2$ , and  $D_1$  is a vivid deductive system of  $X$ . We can verify that  $D_2$  is also a deductive system of  $X$ .

#### 4. CONCLUSION

We have introduced the concepts of a deductive system, a vivid deductive system of a GE-algebra and investigated the relation between them. We have observed that every vivid deductive system of a GE-algebra is a deductive system of a GE-algebra but not vice-versa.

We have provided conditions for a deductive system to be a vivid deductive system of a GE-algebra. We have introduced the notion of upper GE-set of  $a$  and  $b$  in a GE-algebra  $X$  and characterized deductive system in terms of upper GE-set. We have established the extension property of the vivid deductive system.

## 5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. K. Bandaru, A. Borumand Saeid and Y. B. Jun, *Belligerent GE-filter in GE-algebras*, Thai J. Math. (submitted).
- [2] A. Borumand Saeid, A. Rezaei, R.K. Bandaru and Y.B. Jun, *Voluntary GE-filters and further results of GE-filters in GE-algebras*, J. Algebr. Syst. (in press).
- [3] D. Busneag, *A note on deductive systems of a Hilbert algebra*, Kobe J. Math., **2** (1985) 29-35.
- [4] D. Busneag, *Hilbert algebras of fractions and maximal Hilbert algebras of quotients*, Kobe J. Math., **5** (1988) 161–172.
- [5] D. Busneag, *Hertz algebras of fractions and maximal Hertz algebras of quotients*, Math. Japonica., **39** (1993) 461-469.
- [6] A. Diego, *Sur algèbres de Hilbert*, Collect. Logique Math. Ser. A, **21** (1967) 177-198.
- [7] Y. B. Jun, *Deductive systems of Hilbert algebras*, Math. Japonica, **43** (1996) 51-54.
- [8] Y. B. Jun, *Commutative Hilbert algebras*, Soochow J. Math., **22** No. 4 (1996) 477-484.
- [9] A. Rezaei, R. K. Bandaru, A. Borumand Saeid and Y. B. Jun, *Prominent GE-filters and GE-morphisms in GE-algebras*, Afr. Mat., **32** (2021) 1121-1136.

### Young Bae Jun

Department of Mathematics Education,  
Gyeongsang National University,  
Jinju 52828, Korea.  
skywine@gmail.com

### Ravikumar Bandaru

Department of Mathematics,  
GITAM,  
Hyderabad Campus,  
Telangana-502329, India.  
ravimaths83@gmail.com