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#### Abstract

A new sub－structure called（vivid）deductive system is introduced and their prop－ erties are examined．Conditions for a subset to be a deductive system are provided．The notion of upper GE－set is also introduced，and an example to show that any upper GE－set may not be a deductive system are supplied．Conditions for an upper GE－set to be a deductive system are provided．An upper GE－set is used to consider conditions for a subset to be a deductive system．The characterization of deductive system is established，and relationship between de－ ductive system and vivid deductive system are created．Conditions for a deductive system to be a vivid deductive system are given，and the extension property for vivid deductive system is constructed．


## 1．Introduction

Following the introduction of Hilbert algebras by L．Henkin in early 50－ties and A．Diego［6］， the algebra and related concepts were developed by D．Busneag［3，4，5］．Y．B．Jun gave
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characterizations of deductive systems in Hilbert algebras (see [7, 8]), introduced the notion of commutative Hilbert algebras and gave some characterizations of a commutative Hilbert algebra (see [8] ). In mathematics, Hilbert algebras occur in the theory of von Neumann algebras in: Commutation theorem and Tomita-Takesaki theory, and it is an important tool for certain investigations in algebraic logic since they can be considered as fragments of any propositional logic containing a logical connective implication $(\rightarrow)$ and the constant 1 which is considered as the logical value "true". The study of generalization of one known algebraic structure is also an important research task. As a generalization of a Hilbert algebra, Bandaru, Borumand Saeid and Jun[?] introduced the notion of a GE-algebra, and investigated several properties. Different new substructures have been introduced in a GE-algebra such as voluntary GE-filters, belligerent GE-filters, imploring GE-filters and prominent GE-filters and studied their properties(see [1, 2, 9]).

In this manuscript, we introduce a new sub-structure called (vivid) deductive system and examine their properties. We provide conditions for a subset to be a deductive system. We also introduce the notion of upper GE-set, and give example to show that any upper GE-set may not be a deductive system. We provide conditions for an upper GE-set to be a deductive system. Using an upper GE-set, we consider conditions for a subset to be a deductive system. We establish characterization of deductive system. We discuss relationship between deductive system and vivid deductive system. We provide conditions for a deductive system to be a vivid deductive system. We build the extension property for vivid deductive system.

## 2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 ([?]). By a GE-algebra we mean a non-empty set $X$ with a constant 1 and a binary operation "*" satisfying the following axioms:
(GE1) $u * u=1$,
(GE2) $1 * u=u$,
$(\mathrm{GE} 3) u *(v * w)=u *(v *(u * w))$
for all $u, v, w \in X$.

In a GE-algebra $X$, a binary relation " $\leq$ " is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall x, y \in X)(x \leq y \Leftrightarrow x * y=1) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.2 ( $[$ ?, 1$]$. A GE-algebra $X$ is said to be

- transitive if it satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x * y \leq(z * x) *(z * y)) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- left exchangeable if it satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x *(y * z)=y *(x * z)) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

- belligerent if it satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x *(y * z)=(x * y) *(x * z)) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.3 ([?]). Every GE-algebra X satisfies the following items.

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\forall u \in X)(u * 1=1) .  \tag{5}\\
& (\forall u, v \in X)(u *(u * v)=u * v) .  \tag{6}\\
& (\forall u, v \in X)(u \leq v * u) .  \tag{7}\\
& (\forall u, v, w \in X)(u *(v * w) \leq v *(u * w)) .  \tag{8}\\
& (\forall u \in X)(1 \leq u \Rightarrow u=1) .  \tag{9}\\
& (\forall u, v \in X)(u \leq(v * u) * u) .  \tag{10}\\
& (\forall u, v \in X)(u \leq(u * v) * v) .  \tag{11}\\
& (\forall u, v, w \in X)(u \leq v * w \Leftrightarrow v \leq u * w) . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

If $X$ is transitive, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\forall u, v, w \in X)(u \leq v \Rightarrow w * u \leq w * v, v * w \leq u * w) .  \tag{13}\\
& (\forall u, v, w \in X)(u * v \leq(v * w) *(u * w)) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.4 ([?]). In a GE-algebra $X$, the following facts are equivalent each other.

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\forall x, y, z \in X)(x * y \leq(z * x) *(z * y)) .  \tag{15}\\
& (\forall x, y, z \in X)(x * y \leq(y * z) *(x * z)) . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 2.5 ([?]). A subset $D$ of a GE-algebra $X$ is called a $G E$-filter of $X$ if it satisfies:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1 \in D  \tag{17}\\
& (\forall x, y \in X)(x * y \in D, x \in D \Rightarrow y \in D) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Deductive systems

In what follows let $X$ denote a GE-algebra unless otherwise specified.
Definition 3.1. A nonempty subset $D$ of $X$ is called a deductive system of $X$ if it satisfies:
(D1) $X * D:=\{x * a \mid x \in X, a \in D\} \subseteq D$.
(D2) $(\forall x, y, z \in X)(y, z \in D \Rightarrow(y *(z * x)) * x \in D)$.

Example 3.2. Let $X=\{0,1,2,3,4\}$ be a set with the Cayley table which is given in Table 1.

Table 1. Cayley table for the binary operation "*"

| $*$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Then $(X, *, 1)$ is a GE-algebra, and it is routine to verify that $D:=\{0,1\}$ is a deductive system of $X$.

Lemma 3.3. Every deductive system contains the constant 1.
Proof. Let $D$ be a deductive system of $X$. For every $x \in D$, we have

$$
1=x * x \in D * D \subseteq X * D \subseteq D
$$

by (GE1). This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.4. Every deductive system of $X$ satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall x, y \in X)(y \in D \Rightarrow(y * x) * x \in D) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If we take $z=1$ in (D2) and use (GE2), then $(y * x) * x=(y *(1 * x)) * x \in D$.

Corollary 3.5. Every deductive system of $X$ satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall x, y \in X)(y \in D, y \leq x \Rightarrow x \in D) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $y \in D$ and $y \leq x$. Then $y * x=1$, and so $x=1 * x=$ $(y * x) * x \in D$.

We consider a subset $D$ of $X$ that satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x *(y * z) \in D, y \in D \Rightarrow x * z \in D) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.6. If a subset $D$ of $X$ satisfies two conditions (17) and (21), then $D$ satisfies (20).

Proof. Assume that a subset $D$ of $X$ satisfies two conditions (17) and (21). Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $y \in D$ and $y \leq x$. Then $1 *(y * x)=1 * 1=1 \in D$, and so $x=1 * x \in D$. Hence $D$ satisfies (20).

Theorem 3.7. Every deductive system $D$ of $X$ satisfies two conditions (17) and (21).
Proof. Let $D$ be a deductive system of a GE-algebra $X$. Then $D$ contains the constant 1 by Lemma 3.3. Let $x, y, z \in D$ be such that $x *(y * z) \in D$ and $y \in D$. Then $(x *(y * z)) *(y *(x * z))=$ 1 by (8). It follows from (GE2) and (D2) that

$$
x * z=1 *(x * z)=((x *(y * z)) *(y *(x * z))) *(x * z) \in D .
$$

Hence (21) is valid.

Theorem 3.8. If a subset $D$ of $X$ satisfies two conditions (17) and (21), then $D$ is a deductive system of $X$.

Proof. Let $D$ be a subset of $X$ that satisfies (17) and (21). Let $y \in X * D$. Then $y=x * a$ for some $x \in X$ and $a \in D$. Then $x *(a * a)=x * 1=1 \in D$ by (GE1), (5) and (17). It follows from (21) that $y=x * a \in D$. Hence $X * D \subseteq D$. Let $x \in X$ and $y, z \in D$. Using (GE1), (GE2), (GE3), (5) and (17) and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 *(y *((y *(z * x)) *(z * x)))=y *((y *(z * x)) *(z * x)) \\
& =y *((y *(z * x)) *(y *(z * x)))=y * 1=1 \in D .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (GE2) and (21) that

$$
(y *(z * x)) *(z * x)=1 *((y *(z * x)) *(z * x)) \in D .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 *(z *((y *(z * x)) * x)) & =z *((y *(z * x)) * x) \\
& =z *((y *(z * x)) *(z * x)) \in D
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies from (GE2) and (21) that $(y *(z * x)) * x \in D$. Therefore $D$ is a deductive system of $X$.

For any $a, b \in X$, we consider the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{a}^{b}:=\{x \in X \mid a \leq b * x\} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is called the upper $G E$-set of $a$ and $b$ in $X$.

Example 3.9. Let $X=\{0,1,2,3,4\}$ be a set with the Cayley table which is given in Table 2.

Table 2. Cayley table for the binary operation "*"

| $*$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Then $(X, *, 1)$ is a GE-algebra and all upper GE-sets are calculated as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{0}^{0}=X_{0}^{1}=X_{0}^{2}=X_{1}^{0}=X_{2}^{0}=\{0,1,2\} \\
& X_{1}^{1}=\{1\} \\
& X_{1}^{2}=X_{2}^{1}=X_{2}^{2}=\{1,2\} \\
& X_{1}^{3}=X_{3}^{1}=X_{3}^{3}=\{0,1,3,4\} \\
& X_{1}^{4}=X_{2}^{4}=X_{4}^{1}=X_{4}^{2}=X_{4}^{4}=\{1,2,3,4\} \\
& X_{0}^{3}=X_{0}^{4}=X_{2}^{3}=X_{3}^{0}=X_{3}^{2}=X_{3}^{4}=X_{4}^{0}=X_{4}^{3}=X
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3.10. In a GE-algebra $X$, we have
(i) $(\forall a, b \in X)\left(1, a, b \in X_{a}^{b}\right)$.
(ii) $(\forall a, b \in X)\left(b \leq x\right.$ for all $\left.x \in X \Rightarrow X_{a}^{b}=X=X_{b}^{a}\right)$.

Proof. (i) is straightforward by (GE1), (5) and (7). Let $a, b \in X$ be such that $b \leq x$ for all $x \in X$. For any $z \in X$, we have $a *(b * z)=a * 1=1$, that is, $a \leq b * z$. Thus $z \in X_{a}^{b}=X_{b}^{a}$. Therefore (ii) is valid.

The following example shows that the upper GE-set of $a$ and $b$ in $X$ is not a deductive system of $X$.

Example 3.11. In Example 3.9, we can observe that $X_{1}^{3}=\{0,1,3,4\}$ and it is not a deductive system of $X$ since $3,4 \in X_{1}^{3}$ but $(3 *(4 * 2)) * 2=2 \notin X_{1}^{3}$.

We provide conditions for the upper GE-set to be a deductive system.
Theorem 3.12. In a belligerent GE-algebra $X$, the upper $G E$-set of $a$ and $b$ in $X$ is a deductive system of $X$.

Proof. Assume that $X$ is a belligerent GE-algebra. Let $x \in X * X_{a}^{b}$. Then $x=y * z$ for some $y \in X$ and $z \in X_{a}^{b}$. Hence $a \leq b * z$, i.e., $a *(b * z)=1$. It follows from (5) and (4) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a *(b *(y * z)) & =a *((b * y) *(b * z)) \\
& =(a *(b * y)) *(a *(b * z)) \\
& =(a *(b * y)) * 1=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $x=y * z \in X_{a}^{b}$, and thus $X * X_{a}^{b} \subseteq X_{a}^{b}$. Let $x \in X$ and $y, z \in X_{a}^{b}$. Then $a \leq b * y$ and $a \leq b * z$, i.e., $a *(b * y)=1$ and $a *(b * z)=1$. The combination of (GE1), (GE2) and (4) induces

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a *(b *((y *(z * x)) * x))=a *((b *(y *(z * x))) *(b * x)) \\
& =(a *(b *(y *(z * x)))) *(a *(b * x)) \\
& =((a *(b * y)) *(a *(b *(z * x)))) *(a *(b * x)) \\
& =(1 *(a *(b *(z * x)))) *(a *(b * x)) \\
& =(a *(b *(z * x))) *(a *(b * x)) \\
& =(((a *(b * z)) *(a *(b * x)))) *(a *(b * x)) \\
& =((1 *(a *(b * x)))) *(a *(b * x)) \\
& =(a *(b * x)) *(a *(b * x))=1,
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $a \leq b *((y *(z * x)) * x)$. Hence $(y *(z * x)) * x \in X_{a}^{b}$. In conclusion, $X_{a}^{b}$ is a deductive system of $X$.

Theorem 3.13. Every deductive system $D$ of $X$ contains the upper $G E$-set $X_{a}^{b}$ for all $a, b \in D$.
Proof. For every $a, b \in D$, let $x \in X_{a}^{b}$. Then $a \leq b * x$, i.e., $a *(b * x)=1$. It follows from (GE2) and (D2) that $x=1 * x=(a *(b * x)) * x \in D$. Hence $X_{a}^{b} \subseteq D$ for all $a, b \in D$.

Theorem 3.14. If a subset $D$ of $X$ satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall a, b \in D)\left(X_{a}^{b} \subseteq D\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $D$ is a deductive system of $X$.
Proof. Let $D$ be a subset of a GE-algebra $X$ that satisfies the condition (23). Then $1 \in X_{a}^{b} \subseteq$ $D$. Let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x *(y * z) \in D$ and $y \in D$. The condition (8) induces $(x *(y * z)) *(y *(x * z))=1$. Hence $x * z \in X_{a}^{b} \subseteq D$ for $a:=x *(y * z)$ and $b:=y$. It follows from Theorem 3.8 that $D$ is a deductive system of $X$.

By the combination of Theorem 3.13 and Theorem 3.14, we have a characterization of a deductive system as follows.

Theorem 3.15. A subset $D$ of $X$ is a deductive system of $X$ if and only if it satisfies (23).

Theorem 3.16. Every deductive system $D$ of $X$ is represented by the union of the upper $G E$-sets for all $a, b \in D$.

Proof. Let $D$ be a deductive system of $X$. If $x \in D$, then clearly $x \in X_{x}^{1}$ and thus

$$
D \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in D} X_{x}^{1} \subseteq \bigcup_{a, b \in D} X_{a}^{b}
$$

If $y \in \bigcup_{a, b \in D} X_{a}^{b}$, then $y \in X_{a}^{b}$ for some $a, b \in D$ and so $y \in D$ by Theorem 3.13. This shows that $\bigcup_{a, b \in D} X_{a}^{b} \subseteq D$. Therefore $D=\bigcup_{a, b \in D} X_{a}^{b}$. $\square$

Corollary 3.17. If $D$ is a deductive system of $X$, then $D=\bigcup_{x \in D} X_{x}^{1}$.
Definition 3.18. A nonempty subset $D$ of $X$ is called a vivid deductive system of $X$ if it satisfies (D1) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x \in D, x *(y * z) \in D \Rightarrow((z * y) * y) * z \in D) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 3.19. Let $X=\{0,1,2,3,4\}$ be a set with the Cayley table which is given in Table 3. Then $(X, *, 1)$ is a GE-algebra. It is routine to verify that $D:=\{0,1,2\}$ is a vivid deductive

TABLE 3. Cayley table for the binary operation "*"

| $*$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |

system of $X$.

It is clear that $D:=\{1\}$ is a deductive system of $X$, but it is not a vivid deductive system of $X$ as seen in the following example.

Table 4. Cayley table for the binary operation "*"

| $*$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |

Example 3.20. Let $X=\{0,1,2,3,4\}$ be a set with the Cayley table which is given in Table 4. Then $(X, *, 1)$ is a GE-algebra. The set $D:=\{1\}$ is not a vivid deductive system of $X$ since $1 \in D$ and $1 *(0 * 2)=1 * 1=1 \in D$ but

$$
((2 * 0) * 0) * 2=(0 * 0) * 2=1 * 2=2 \notin D .
$$

Question 3.21. If $X$ is a left exchangeable and transitive $G E$-algebra, then is the set $D:=\{1\}$ a vivid deductive system of $X$ ?

The answer to Question 3.21 is negative as seen in the following example.
Example 3.22. Let $X=\{0,1,2,3,4\}$ be a set with the Cayley table which is given in Table 5. Then $(X, *, 1)$ is a GE-algebra which is left exchangeable and transitive. We can observe

Table 5. Cayley table for the binary operation "*"

| $*$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |

that $D:=\{1\}$ is not a vivid deductive system of $X$ since $1 \in D$ and $1 *(2 * 0)=1 * 1=1 \in D$ but

$$
((0 * 2) * 2) * 0=(2 * 2) * 0=1 * 0=0 \notin D .
$$

We discuss relationship between deductive system and vivid deductive system.

Theorem 3.23. Every vivid deductive system is a deductive system.

Proof. Let $D$ be a vivid deductive system of $X$. Note that $1 \in D$ by (GE1) and (D1). We first show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall x, y \in X)(x \in D, x * y \in D \Rightarrow y \in D) . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x \in D$ and $x * y \in D$. Then $x *(1 * y)=x * y \in D$ by (GE2), and so $y=((y * 1) * 1) * y \in D$ by (GE2), (5) and (24). For every $x \in X$ and $y, z \in D$, we have

$$
y *((y *(z * x)) *(z * x))=y *((y *(z * x)) *(y *(z * x)))=y * 1=1 \in D
$$

by (GE1), (GE3) and (5). It follows from (25) that $(y *(z * x)) *(z * x) \in D$. Hence

$$
z *((y *(z * x)) * x)=z *((y *(z * x)) *(z * x)) \in D
$$

by (GE3) and (D1), and thus $(y *(z * x)) * x \in D$ by (25). Therefore $D$ is a deductive system of $X$.

The following example shows that any deductive system may not be a vivid deductive system.

Example 3.24. Let $X=\{0,1,2,3,4\}$ be a set with the Cayley table which is given in Table 6.

Table 6. Cayley table for the binary operation "*"

| $*$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Then $(X, *, 1)$ is a GE-algebra and it is routine to verify that $D:=\{1,2\}$ is a deductive system of $X$. But it is not a vivid deductive system of $X$ since $1 \in D$ and $1 *(0 * 3)=1 * 1=1 \in D$ but

$$
((3 * 0) * 0) * 3=(0 * 0) * 3=1 * 3=3 \notin D .
$$

We provide conditions for a deductive system to be a vivid deductive system.
Theorem 3.25. A deductive system $D$ of $X$ is vivid if and only if it satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall y, z \in X)(y * z \in D \Rightarrow((z * y) * y) * z \in D) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume that $D$ is a vivid deductive system of $X$. Let $y, z \in X$ be such that $y * z \in$ D. Then $1 *(y * z)=y * z \in D$ by (GE2), which implies from Lemma 3.3 and (24) that $((z * y) * y) * z \in D$.

Conversely, let $D$ be a deductive system of $X$ that satisfies (26). Let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \in D$ and $x *(y * z) \in D$. Then

$$
y * z=1 *(y * z)=((x *(y * z)) *(x *(y * z))) *(y * z) \in D
$$

by (GE1), (GE2) and (D2). It follows from (26) that $((z * y) * y) * z \in D$. Therefore $D$ is a vivid deductive system of $X$.

Given a subset $D$ of $X$, consider the next assertion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall x, y \in X)((x * y) * x \in D \Rightarrow x \in D) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following example, we can verify that any deductive system $D$ of $X$ does not satisfy the condition (27).

Example 3.26. In Example 3.2, we can observe that the deductive system $D=\{0,1\}$ of $X$ does not satisfy the condition (27) since $(2 * 4) * 2=4 * 2=1 \in D$ but $2 \notin D$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x \in D$ and $x * y=1$. Then $x * y \in D$ by Lemma 3.3. It follows from (GE1), (GE2) and (D2) that $y=1 * y=((x * y) *(x * y)) * y \in D$.

Theorem 3.27. Let $X$ be a transitive GE-algebra. If a deductive system $D$ of $X$ satisfies the condition (27), then it is a vivid deductive system of $X$.

Proof. Assume that a deductive system $D$ of $X$ satisfies the condition (27). Let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x \in D$ and $x *((y * z) * y) \in D$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(y * z) * y & =1 *((y * z) * y) \\
& =((x *((y * z) * y)) *(x *((y * z) * y))) *((y * z) * y) \in D
\end{aligned}
$$

by (GE1), (GE2) and (D2). Thus $y \in D$ by (27). This shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x \in D, x *((y * z) * y) \in D \Rightarrow y \in D) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $y, z \in X$ be such that $y * z \in D$. Since $X$ is transitive, the combination of (7) and (13) induces $(((z * y) * y) * z) * y \leq z * y$, and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
y * z & \leq((z * y) * y) *((z * y) * z) \\
& \leq(z * y) *(((z * y) * y) * z) \\
& \leq((((z * y) * y) * z) * y) *(((z * y) * y) * z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (GE2) and Corollary 3.5 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 *(((((z * y) * y) * z) * y) *(((z * y) * y) * z)) \\
& =((((z * y) * y) * z) * y) *(((z * y) * y) * z) \in D .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $((z * y) * y) * z \in D$ by (28). Therefore $D$ is a vivid deductive system of $X$ by Theorem 3.25.

Theorem 3.28. The intersection of two vivid deductive systems is also a vivid deductive system.

Proof. Let Let $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ be vivid deductive systems of $X$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
X *\left(D_{1} \cap D_{2}\right) & =\left\{x * a \mid x \in X, a \in D_{1} \cap D_{2}\right\} \\
& =\left\{x * a \mid x \in X, a \in D_{1}\right\} \cap\left\{x * a \mid x \in X, a \in D_{2}\right\} \\
& \subseteq D_{1} \cap D_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $y, z \in D_{1} \cap D_{2}$. Then $y, z \in D_{1}$ and $y, z \in D_{2}$. It follows from (D2) that $(y *(z * x)) * x \in D_{1}$ and $(y *(z * x)) * x \in D_{2}$. Hence $(y *(z * x)) * x \in D_{1} \cap D_{2}$, and therefore $D_{1} \cap D_{2}$ is a vivid deductive system of $X$.

The following example shows that the union of vivid deductive systems may not be a vivid deductive system.

Example 3.29. Let $X=\{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$ be a set with the Cayley table which is given in Table 7. Then $(X, *, 1)$ is a GE-algebra. Let $D_{1}:=\{1,3\}$ and $D_{2}:=\{1,4\}$. Then we can observe that $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are vivid deductive systems of $X$. But $D_{1} \cup D_{2}:=\{1,3,4\}$ is not a vivid deductive system of $X$ since $3 \in D_{1} \cup D_{2}$ and $3 *(0 * 2)=3 * 2=4 \in D_{1} \cup D_{2}$ but

$$
((2 * 0) * 0) * 2=(0 * 0) * 2=1 * 2=2 \notin D_{1} \cup D_{2} .
$$

Question 3.30. Consider deductive systems $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ of $X$ with $D_{1} \subseteq D_{2}$. If $D_{1}$ is a vivid deductive system of $X$, is $D_{2}$ also a vivid deductive system of $X$ ?

Table 7. Cayley table for the binary operation "*"

| $*$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

The answer to Question 3.30 is negative as seen in the following example.
Example 3.31. Let $X=\{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$ be a set with the Cayley table which is given in Table 8.

Table 8. Cayley table for the binary operation "*"

| $*$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

Then $(X, *, 1)$ is a GE-algebra. Clearly $D_{1}=\{1\}$ and $D_{2}=\{0,1,5\}$ are deductive systems of $X$ and $D_{1} \subseteq D_{2}$. We can observe that $D_{1}$ is a vivid deductive system of $X$. But $D_{2}$ is not a vivid deductive system of $X$ since $5 \in D_{2}$ and $5 *(3 * 4)=5 * 0=1 \in D_{2}$ but $((4 * 3) * 3) * 4=(3 * 3) * 4=1 * 4=4 \notin D_{2}$.

We explore conditions in which the answer to Question 3.30 can be positive.
Theorem 3.32. (Extension property) Assume that $X$ is a transitive GE-algebra. Let $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ be deductive systems of $X$ with $D_{1} \subseteq D_{2}$. If $D_{1}$ is a vivid deductive system of $X$, then so is $D_{2}$.

Proof. Assume that $D_{1}$ is a vivid deductive system of $X$ and let $y, z \in X$ be such that $y * z \in D_{2}$. Using (GE1), (GE3) and (5), we get

$$
y *((y * z) * z)=y *((y * z) *(y * z))=y * 1=1 \in D_{1}
$$

and so $((((y * z) * z) * y) * y) *((y * z) * z) \in D_{1} \subseteq D_{2}$ by Theorem 3.25. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (y * z) *(((((y * z) * z) * y) * y) * z) \\
& =(y * z) *(((((y * z) * z) * y) * y) *((y * z) * z)) \in D_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

by (GE3) and (D1). It follows from (GE1), (GE2) and (D2) that

$$
a * z=1 *(a * z)=(((y * z) *(a * z)) *((y * z) *(a * z))) *(a * z) \in D_{2}
$$

where $a:=((((y * z) * z) * y) * y)$. Since $X$ is transitive, the combination of (7) and (13) induces $(a * z) *(((z * y) * y) * z)=1$. Hence $((z * y) * y) * z \in D_{2}$ by Corollary 3.5. Therefore $D_{2}$ is a vivid deductive system of $X$ by Theorem 3.25.

Corollary 3.33. Let $X$ be a transitive GE-algebra. Then $\{1\}$ is a vivid deductive system of $X$ if and only if all deductive systems of $X$ are vivid.

The following example describes Theorem 3.32 .
Example 3.34. Let $X=\{0,1,2,3,4\}$ be a set with the Cayley table which is given in Table 9.

Table 9. Cayley table for the binary operation "*"

| $*$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 |

Then $(X, *, 1)$ is a transitive GE-algebra in which $\{1\}$ is not a vivid deductive system of $X$ since $2 * 0=1 \in\{1\}$ but $((0 * 2) * 2) * 0=(2 * 2) * 0=1 * 0=0 \notin\{1\}$. Let $D_{1}=\{0,1\}$ and $D_{2}=\{0,1,4\}$. Then $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are deductive systems of $X$ with $D_{1} \subseteq D_{2}$, and $D_{1}$ is a vivid deductive system of $X$. We can verify that $D_{2}$ is also a deductive system of $X$.

## 4. Conclusion

We have introduced the concepts of a deductive system, a vivid deductive system of a GE-algebra and investigated the relation between them. We have observed that every vivid deductive system of a GE-algebra is a deductive system of a GE-algebra but not vice-versa.

We have provided conditions for a deductive system to be a vivid deductive system of a GEalgebra. We have introduced the notion of upper GE-set of $a$ and $b$ in a GE-algebra $X$ and characterized deductive system in terms of upper GE-set. We have established the extension property of the vivid deductive system.
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