



AN ELEMENTARY PROOF OF NAGEL-SCHENZEL FORMULA

ALIREZA VAHIDI

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring with non-zero identity, \mathfrak{a} an ideal of R , M a finitely generated R -module, and a_1, \dots, a_n an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequence. The formula

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) \cong \begin{cases} H_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}^i(M) & \text{for all } i < n, \\ H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i-n}(H_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}^n(M)) & \text{for all } i \geq n, \end{cases}$$

is known as Nagel-Schenzel formula and is a useful result to express the local cohomology modules in terms of filter regular sequences. In this paper, we provide an elementary proof to this formula.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout R will denote a commutative Noetherian ring with non-zero identity, \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} two ideals of R , X an arbitrary R -module which is not necessarily finitely generated, and M a finitely generated R -module. Recall that the i -th local cohomology functor $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i$ is the i -th right derived functor of the \mathfrak{a} -torsion functor $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}$. For basic results, notations and terminology not given in this paper, the reader is referred to [1], [2], and [5].

DOI: 10.29252/as.2019.1359

MSC(2010): Primary: 13D45, Secondary: 14B15

Keywords: Filter regular sequences, local cohomology modules, Nagel-Schenzel formula.

Received: 25 Sep 2017, Accepted: 20 April 2019.

This research was in part supported by a grant from Payame Noor University.

© 2019 Yazd University.

The concept of an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence is a generalization of the concept of a filter regular sequence which has been studied in [6] and [7], and has led to some interesting results. Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathfrak{a}$. Recall that a_1, \dots, a_n is an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequence if

$$\text{Supp}_R \left(\frac{(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1})M :_M a_i}{(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1})M} \right) \subseteq \text{Var}(\mathfrak{a})$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $\text{Var}(\mathfrak{a})$ denotes the set of prime ideals of R containing \mathfrak{a} . Let a_1, \dots, a_n be an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequence. Then, by [3, Proposition 1.2], we have

$$(1) \quad H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) \cong \begin{cases} H_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}^i(M) & \text{for all } i < n, \\ H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i-n}(H_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}^n(M)) & \text{for all } i \geq n, \end{cases}$$

which is known as Nagel-Schenzel formula. This formula was first obtained by Nagel and Schenzel, in [4, Lemma 3.4], in the case where R is a local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{m}$. Both of them used the Grothendieck spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} := H_{\mathfrak{a}}^p(H_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}^q(M)) \xrightarrow{p} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{p+q}(M)$$

to prove (1). In this paper, we provide an elementary proof to this formula.

2. An elementary proof of (1)

The following lemmas are needed in our proof of Nagel-Schenzel formula.

Lemma 2.1. *Let t be a non-negative integer such that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t-i}(H_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(X)) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq t$. Then $H_{\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b}}^t(X) = 0$.*

Proof. We prove by using induction on t . The case $t = 0$ is clear because $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{b}}(X)) = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b}}(X)$. Suppose that $t > 0$ and that $t - 1$ is settled. Assume that $\overline{X} = X/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{b}}(X)$ and $Q = E_R(\overline{X})/\overline{X}$ where $E_R(\overline{X})$ is an injective hull of \overline{X} . Since $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{b}}(\overline{X}) = 0 = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b}}(\overline{X})$, $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{b}}(E_R(\overline{X})) = 0 = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b}}(E_R(\overline{X}))$. Applying the derived functors of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{b}}(-)$ and $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b}}(-)$ to the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \overline{X} \longrightarrow E_R(\overline{X}) \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0,$$

we obtain the isomorphisms

$$(2) \quad H_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(Q) \cong H_{\mathfrak{b}}^{i+1}(X)$$

and

$$(3) \quad H_{\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b}}^i(Q) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b}}^{i+1}(\overline{X})$$

for all $i \geq 0$. From the isomorphisms (2), for all $0 \leq i \leq t - 1$, we have

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{(t-1)-i}(H_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(Q)) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t-(i+1)}(H_{\mathfrak{b}}^{i+1}(X))$$

which is zero by the assumptions. Thus, from the induction hypothesis on Q , we have $H_{a+b}^{t-1}(Q) = 0$. Therefore $H_{a+b}^t(\overline{X}) = 0$ by the isomorphisms (3). Now, by the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_b(X) \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow \overline{X} \longrightarrow 0,$$

we get the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_{a+b}^t(\Gamma_b(X)) \longrightarrow H_{a+b}^t(X) \longrightarrow H_{a+b}^t(\overline{X}) \longrightarrow \cdots.$$

Since $H_{a+b}^t(\Gamma_b(X)) = H_a^t(\Gamma_b(X)) = 0$, the above long exact sequence shows that $H_{a+b}^t(X) = 0$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let s and t be non-negative integers such that*

- (i) $H_a^{s+t-i}(H_b^i(X)) = 0$ for all $i \neq t$,
- (ii) $H_a^{s+t-i+1}(H_b^i(X)) = 0$ for all $i < t$, and
- (iii) $H_a^{s+t-i-1}(H_b^i(X)) = 0$ for all $i > t$.

Then we have the isomorphism $H_a^s(H_b^t(X)) \cong H_{a+b}^{s+t}(X)$.

Proof. Let $\overline{X} = X/\Gamma_b(X)$ and $Q = E_R(\overline{X})/\overline{X}$ where $E_R(\overline{X})$ is an injective hull of \overline{X} . We prove by using induction on t . In the case that $t = 0$, we have $H_{a+b}^{s-1}(\overline{X}) = 0 = H_{a+b}^s(\overline{X})$ from hypothesis (iii) and (i), and Lemma 2.1. Since $H_{a+b}^s(\Gamma_b(X)) \cong H_a^s(\Gamma_b(X))$, the assertion follows by the exact sequence

$$H_{a+b}^{s-1}(\overline{X}) \longrightarrow H_{a+b}^s(\Gamma_b(X)) \longrightarrow H_{a+b}^s(X) \longrightarrow H_{a+b}^s(\overline{X}),$$

obtained from the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_b(X) \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow \overline{X} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Suppose that $t > 0$ and that $t - 1$ is settled. From the isomorphisms (2) and the assumptions, we have

- $H_a^{s+(t-1)-i}(H_b^i(Q)) = H_a^{s+t-(i+1)}(H_b^{i+1}(X)) = 0$ for all $i \neq t - 1$,
- $H_a^{s+(t-1)+1-i}(H_b^i(Q)) = H_a^{s+t+1-(i+1)}(H_b^{i+1}(X)) = 0$ for all $i < t - 1$, and
- $H_a^{s+(t-1)-1-i}(H_b^i(Q)) = H_a^{s+t-1-(i+1)}(H_b^{i+1}(X)) = 0$ for all $i > t - 1$.

Thus we get $H_{a+b}^{s+(t-1)}(Q) \cong H_a^s(H_b^{t-1}(Q))$ by the induction hypothesis on Q . Therefore $H_{a+b}^{s+t}(\overline{X}) \cong H_a^s(H_b^t(X))$ from the isomorphisms (2) and (3). On the other hand, by assumptions (i) and (ii), and the exact sequence

$$H_{a+b}^{s+t}(\Gamma_b(X)) \longrightarrow H_{a+b}^{s+t}(X) \longrightarrow H_{a+b}^{s+t}(\overline{X}) \longrightarrow H_{a+b}^{s+t+1}(\Gamma_b(X))$$

obtained from the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_b(X) \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow \overline{X} \longrightarrow 0,$$

we get $H_{\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b}}^{s+t}(\overline{X}) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b}}^{s+t}(X)$. Hence $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^s(H_{\mathfrak{b}}^t(X)) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b}}^{s+t}(X)$ which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let a_1, \dots, a_n be an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular M -sequence. Then, for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, $\text{Supp}_R(H_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}^i(M)) \subseteq \text{Var}(\mathfrak{a})$. In particular,*

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^j(H_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}^i(M)) \cong \begin{cases} H_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}^i(M) & \text{if } j = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } j > 0, \end{cases}$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Proof. Let $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_R(H_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}^i(M))$. Assume contrarily that $\mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Var}(\mathfrak{a})$. Thus $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \setminus \text{Var}(\mathfrak{a})$ and so $\frac{a_1}{1}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{1}$ is a weak $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -sequence. Hence $H_{(\frac{a_1}{1}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{1})}^i(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$. Therefore we get $(H_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}^i(M))_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$. This contradiction shows that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Var}(\mathfrak{a})$. \square

Now we are ready to give an elementary and simple proof for (1).

Proof of Nagel-Schenzel formula. Let $i < n$ (resp. $i \geq n$). Consider Lemma 2.3 and apply Lemma 2.2 with $s = 0$, $t = i$, and $\mathfrak{b} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ (resp. $s = i-n$, $t = n$, and $\mathfrak{b} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local Cohomology: An Algebraic Introduction with Geometric Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [2] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [3] K. Khashyarmansh and Sh. Salarian, *Filter regular sequences and the finiteness of local cohomology modules*, Comm. Algebra **26** (1998) 2483–2490.
- [4] U. Nagel and P. Schenzel, *Cohomological annihilators and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity*, Commutative algebra: Syzygies, multiplicities, and birational algebra, Contemp. Math. **159** (1994) 307–328.
- [5] J. J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1979.
- [6] P. Schenzel, N. V. Trung, and N. T. Cuong, *Verallgemeinerte Cohen-Macaulay-Moduln*, Math. Nachr. **85** (1978) 57–73.
- [7] J. Stuckrad and W. Vogel, *Buchsbaum Rings and Applications*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1986.

Alireza Vahidi

Department of Mathematics,

Payame Noor University (PNU),

P.O.BOX, 19395-4697,

Tehran, Iran.

vahidi.ar@pnu.ac.ir